APPEAL NO. 040911 FILED MAY 27, 2004

CODE ANN. § 401.001 <i>et seq.</i> (1989 Act). A co 8, 2004. The hearing officer determined that the compensable injury on, and The claimant appealed on sufficiency of the (carrier) responded, urging affirmance.	ontested case hearing was held on April e appellant (claimant) did not sustain a did therefore, he did not have disability.
DECISIO	N
Affirmed.	
We have reviewed the complained-of compensability and disability issues involved factoring officer reviewed the record and decide conclude that the hearing officer's determination not so against the great weight and preponderwrong or manifestly unjust. Cain v. Bain, 709 S.	ct questions for the hearing officer. The led what facts were established. We have are supported by the record and are rance of the evidence as to be clearly
We affirm the hearing officer's decision ar	nd order.
The true corporate name of the insultance COMPANY and the name and ad of process is	
ROBERT SIDI 11612 RM 2244, BUILDII AUSTIN, TEXAS	NG 1, SUITE 200
	Daniel R. Barry Appeals Judge
CONCUR:	
Judy L. S. Barnes Appeals Judge	
Chris Cowan Appeals Judge	